





INTEGRATED PLANNING TABLE MEETING
Tuesday, November 14, 2017
1:30 – 4:00pm
The Common Roof (165 Ferris Lane, Barrie) Rooms A/B
MINUTES

Attended		Regrets	
Stefanie Smith, Co-Chair	Rhonda Leduc	Gwen Bennet	Elizabeth McKeeman
Chris Brens, Co-Chair	Sandy Lee	Danette Blue	Vicky Merrilees
Shaunna Brady	Yves Levesque	Gerry Croteau	Danielle Mink
Pat Carney	Kathryn Manners, Coordinator	Ab Falconi	
Lora D'Ambrosio	Julie McAlpine, Recorder	Gisele Forrest	
Laura Forget	Natalie Riewe	Jim Harris	
Rosslyn Junke			

Item		Minutes	Motion/Action
1.	Welcome and Introductions	Chris welcomed everyone to the meeting and a roundtable introduction took place.	
2.	Consent Agenda: 2.1 IPT Minutes October 11, 2017 2.2 Approval of Agenda, November 14, 2017	Today's agenda and the minutes from October 11 th were approved with no changes.	
3.	Ideas and Priorities	<p>The ideas/priorities identified last meeting were grouped into categories based on what were considered Quick Wins, Potential Priority Areas and Out of Scope/Underway. Members were asked to review the document to ensure it appropriately reflected the ideas generated last meeting.</p> <p> IPT Potential Priority Ideas.docx</p> <p>It was noted there appeared to be a lot of focus on the subset groups rather than the broader needs/issues.</p> <p><u>Data Consortium/Child Youth Profile</u> The census information can be broken down. There isn't good youth data, but it could be sourced; the Health Unit just completed a youth report with many of the topics we are concerned about also.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Could identify the key elements required and then can build profile. <p><u>Knowledge Portal</u> The Coalition already has a website which some groups already use as a portal. Need a better sense of what needs to be housed there.</p>	

Item	Minutes	Motion/Action
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Systems/relationship mapping - what tables are occurring for a picture of all conversations going on around the table to avoid duplication, identify linkages <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➢ Lead to a more informed portal ➢ relook at who is at the table • bring workplans to this table <p><u>Higher Needs Clients</u> How can partners come together to look at system options for higher needs clients. A committee on local service resolution that brings forward these individuals is being piloted; there are also situation tables (justice based) operating. Many of these families are known, but there is a gap for those being missed.</p> <p><u>Family as Navigators</u> Parents are the first navigator for families.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Build better capability for families to do navigation. <p><u>Transition</u> Discussed people as individuals going through stages; following the client not the service. Transition issues for 16-18y.o., early intervention to school age, etc. were identified.</p> <p><u>MOMH/OAP/Access/SNS</u> These systems all have committees in place. Circles back to a quick win ie. knowledge.</p> <p>The Out of Scope/Underway ideas were discussed and it was agreed much of this work is underway or actioned elsewhere. There was clarification with regards to the Communication Plan being in regards to what is the responsibility for sharing knowledge/communication between tables.</p>	
4.	<p>Workplan</p> <p>The next step would be to identify what we want to work on and put it into a workplan.</p> <p>Discussed creating a profile of higher need kids with definitions i.e. behaviour manifestations, parent at home, doesn't fit program criteria, etc.</p> <p>The benefits and challenges of system mapping were discussed. This could become a work plan tactics tied to one of the issues identified.</p> <p>Family as navigators were discussed; some members felt families do not have the capacity to navigate independently.</p> <p>It was suggested by helping/supporting high needs kids, the other pieces would roll in and provide a starting point, i.e. navigation, service mapping. Discussion around identifying who the kids falling off the radar are, took place.</p> <p>Transitions was an idea that was considered more broad and something everyone could feel</p>	

	Item	Minutes	Motion/Action
		<p>connected to; can lead us to the high needs kids transitioning who fall through the cracks. Support children, youth and families as they transition between the services or stages of life, and provide support navigating to meet their needs. It was noted services are geared to ages not stages.</p> <p>Laura spoke about life cycles in the aboriginal culture and help being geared to the stage of life someone is in.</p> <p>The group landed on the following three priorities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Create a profile of children, youth and families in Simcoe County • Create a systems map of services (LHIN did a lot of work around this with Eric Sutton) • Transition of children, youth and families through services, stages of life (school boards have done some work around this) <p>The co-chairs will work with Kathy to write up some definitions to send to some of the members for input re wording and then will send to IPT members for voting.</p>	<p>Definitions to be sent to some members for review, then to all IPT members to vote.</p>
5.	Results Based Accountability/ Turn the Curve Reports	<p>RBA was an important part of the 2014-2017 Strategic Plan related to measurement. Working groups were asked to provide updates and there has not been a lot of response. Looking for some directions with regards to whether this is still considered a valuable measurement tool if making a difference as a collective.</p> <p>Some of the challenges using RBA were discussed. Some agencies like it, some would give it up. Lora reported the County finds this a clear tool to use when they know what they are measuring and their evaluations for EarlyOn is based on RBA. Triple P has been trying to use it and improve it. The Health Unit is moving to CQI. United Way is using RBA for their next call for applications but unsure after that. Collective Impact was also discussed.</p> <p>RBA will be taken back to Executive Committee for direction. Do we go with RBA or go with the philosophy of RBA without using the specific tool. What do they want us to do?</p>	<p>Discuss at EC for further direction.</p>
6.	Presentation: Simcoe County Child/Youth/Family Data Profile/Community Data Program	<p>Chris welcomed Olga Belanovskaya, Research Analyst with the County of Simcoe who provided a presentation on the Simcoe County 2016 Census data. This information will help inform our work towards developing a profile of children, youth and families in Simcoe County.</p> <div style="text-align: center;">  <p>Census 2016 Update.pdf</p> </div> <p>There are additional detailed reports available on the County website, broken down by age group/municipality.</p> <p>The following additional areas were identified as missing but would be helpful in developing the profile of children, youth and families in Simcoe County:</p>	

Item	Minutes	Motion/Action
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cross tab language data – i.e. non-official mother tongues with age • cross tab income by age group • cross tab number of people in a family by number of children and their ages <p>Age group breakdowns identified were: 0-4 5-14 14-18</p> <p>Also discussed was what information is available related to the extent of services that can be accessed across the language barrier. The LIP is encouraging agencies around interpretation services and the libraries also have good information around this.</p> <p>The next steps identified were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Make it more of a child, youth, family profile • Identify the gaps • Look at other data contributors • Consider subsets of francophone/indigenous people <p>Kathy advised the County of Simcoe is now covering the Coalition's entire membership fee for the Data Consortium Program. A letter has been sent to the County from Louise Paul, Coalition Chair, on behalf of its members, thanking them for this support.</p>	
IPT Membership	<p>Representatives previously identified as missing from the table included CTN/Special Needs Strategy and Ontario Autism Program. A representative from Ontario Works was suggested. It may make sense to bring people in, even on an ad hoc basis for a few meetings, when needed.</p> <p>A discussion is needed at Executive Committee with regards to the accountability piece; what is the mechanism to bring forward a table's work.</p>	Add IPT Accountability to EC agenda.

Next Meeting: Tues. December 12, 2017 - 1:30 – 4:00 p.m., Barrie Common Roof (165 Ferris Lane, Barrie) – Meeting Rooms A/B